arXiv:0905.1064v1 [math.CO] 7 May 2009

VERTICES OF DEGREE k IN EDGE-MINIMAL, k-EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS

CARL KINGSFORD AND GUILLAUME MARÇAIS

Halin [1] showed that every edge-minimal, k-*vertex*-connected graph has a vertex of degree k. In this note, we prove the analogue to Halin's theorem for edge-minimal, k-*edge*-connected graphs:

Theorem 1. *Let G be an edge-minimal, k-edge-connected graph. Then there are two nodes of degree k in G.*

To prove Theorem 1, we first establish a link between edge-minimal, k-edge-connected graphs and exactly k-edge-connected graph [2] (Definition 7 and Proposition 9). The theorem is proved in the case of G being an exactly k-edge-connected graph (Proposition 16) and then transfered to edge-minimal graphs. Throughout, all graphs considered are multigraphs and all sets are multisets.

The edge-connectivity equivalence relation.

Proposition 2. *The edge version of Menger's theorem holds for multigraphs.*

Proof. Any multi-edge in the graph G can be replaced by a length 2 path to get a graph G'. There is then an obvious bijection between the paths in the original multigraph G and the resulting graph G'. The result of the Menger theorem on G' can be immediatly applied to G. □

Definition 3. Let $v_1 R^k v_2$ be the relation between nodes v_1 and v_2 that holds if $v_1 = v_2$ or there are k edge-disjoint paths between v_1 and v_2.

Proposition 4. *R^k is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. R^k is by definition reflexive and symmetric. Let G be a graph, let $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in G$ satisfy $v_1 R^k v_2$ and $v_2 R^k v_3$. If $v_1 = v_2$, $v_2 = v_3$ or $v_1 = v_3$, then transitivity is obvious. Suppose that v_1, v_2 and v_3 are distinct vertices and let S be an edge set of cardinality $k-1$. There are k edge-disjoint paths from v_1 to v_2 in G so, v_1 and v_2 are still connected in $G \setminus S$ and so are v_2 and v_3. So we have a path $v_1 \rightsquigarrow v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_3$ in $G \setminus S$. By Menger's theorem, the set of paths between v_1 and v_3 in G has cardinality at least k and $v_1 R^k v_3$, and R^k is transitive. □

Proposition 5. *There are at most $k-1$ edge-disjoint paths between two equivalency classes of R^k.*

Proof. Suppose we have k edge-disjoint paths, p_i, $1 \le i \le k$, between C_1 and C_2, two distinct equivalency classes of R^k in graph G. Let v_i^1, $1 \le i \le k$ and v_i^2, $1 \le i \le k$ be the endpoints in C_1 and C_2 respectevily of k edge-disjoint paths. (Note that the v_i^1 are not all necessary

Date: May 7, 2009.
C.K. was partially supported by NSF grant IIS-0812111.

distinct. This is true for the v_i^2 as well.) Let S be a set of $k-1$ edges. Then, in $G \setminus S$, at least one path, say p_{i_0}, was not disconnected. Because $v_1^1 R^k v_{i_0}^1$, v_1^1 and $v_{i_0}^1$ are not disconnected. Similarly, v_1^2 and $v_{i_0}^2$ are not disconnected. So we have a path $v_1^1 \rightsquigarrow v_{i_0}^1 \rightsquigarrow v_{i_0}^2 \rightsquigarrow v_1^2$. By Menger's theorem, $v_1^1 R^k v_1^2$, which is a contradiction. □

Relationship between exact connectivity and minimality.

Proposition 6. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a k-edge-connected graph. G is edge minimal if and only if for any adjacent vertices $(u, v) \in E$, there are at most k edge disjoint $u - v$ paths.*

Proof. Let $e = (u, v) \in E$ such that there are $> k$ edge-disjoint $u - v$ paths. In $G - e$, there are at least k edge-disjoint $u - v$ paths. Let x and y be any two vertices, not necessarily distinct from u and v. There are k edge-disjoint $x - u$ paths in G. So, depending on whether or not edge e is on one of these $x - u$ paths, in $G - e$ there are either k edge-disjoint $x - u$ paths, or there are $k - 1$ edge-disjoint $x - u$ paths and a $x - v$ path edge disjoint from the $k - 1$ $x - u$ paths. There is a similar situation in $G - e$ between y, v and u. Let S be a set of $k - 1$ distinct edges of $G - e$. In $G - e - S$, there is a $x - u$ or $x - v$ path, a $y - u$ or $y - v$ path and a $u - v$ path. Hence there is a $x - y$ path and S is not a separating set. By Menger's theorem, $G - e$ is k-edge-connected, and G is not edge minimal. Conversely, suppose that for any edge $e = (u, v) \in E$ there are at most k edge-disjoint $u - v$ paths. Then in $G - e$ there are at most $k - 1$ edge disjoint $u - v$ paths. So G is edge minimal. □

Definition 7. A graph G is called **exactly k-edge-connected** if there are exactly k edge disjoint paths between any two nodes $u, v \in G$.

Definition 8. Let G be a k-edge-connected graph. Define G^k to be a graph where the vertices are the equivalency classes of R^{k+1} on G and there is an edge $(v_1, v_2) \in G^k$ for every edge $(u_1, u_2) \in G$ with $u_1 \in v_1$ and $u_2 \in v_2$.

Proposition 9. *If G is an edge-minimal, k-edge-connected graph then G^k is not trivial and is exactly k-edge-connected.*

Proof. If G is edge minimal, it is not $(k + 1)$-edge-connected, so R^{k+1} has more than one equivalency class, and G^k is not trivial. G^k is k-edge-connected like G. By Proposition 5, it is exactly k-edge-connected. □

Proposition 10. *Let G be an edge-minimal, k-edge-connected graph, and let $v \in G^k$ be an equivalence class of R^{k+1}. Then, for any $u \in v$, $\deg_{G^k}(v) \geq \deg_G(u)$.*

Proof. Let $v \in G^k$. By Proposition 6, if $u_1, u_2 \in v$, then (u_1, u_2) is not an edge in G. So every neighbor of u_1 is not in v and by construction $\deg_{G^k}(v) \geq \deg_G(u_1)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.

Definition 11. An edge cut S of a graph G is called **trivial** if one of the components of $G \setminus S$ is the trivial graph.

Definition 12. A **k-regular** graph is a graph where all vertices have the same degree k. A **quasi k-regular** graph is a graph where at most one vertex has a degree different than k.

Lemma 13. *An exactly k-edge connected graph G which has only trivial cuts is quasi k-regular.*

Proof. Suppose there exists two vertices u and v of degree greater than k. There exists a minmum cut separating u and v and this cut cannot be trivial. □

Definition 14 (Vertex splitting)**.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be an exactly k-edge connected graph and $S = \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle$ be a non-trivial minimum cut. Construct $G_1 = (V_1 \cup \{x_1\}, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2 \cup \{x_2\}, E_2)$ by adding two new vertices x_1 and x_2 attached respectively to G_1 and G_2 by k new edges to the vertices adjacent to S. Formally, let $S = \{(u_i, v_i) \in V_1 \times V_2 : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= (V_1 \times V_1 \cap E) \cup \{(x_1, u_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \\ E_2 &= (V_2 \times V_2 \cap E) \cup \{(x_2, v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \ . \end{aligned}$$

The pair G_1, G_2 is called a **vertex splitting** of G with respect to S.

Proposition 15. *Let G be an exactly k-edge-connected graph, and let S be a non-trivial minimum cut. G_1 and G_2 obtained from vertex splitting G with respect to S are exactly k-edge-connected.*

Proposition 16. *Let G be an exactly k-edge-connected graph. Then there are two vertices of degree k in G.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of non-trivial minimum cuts in G. If G has no non-trivial minimum cuts, then it is quasi k-regular and has at least 2 nodes of degree k. Let $S = \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle$ be a non-trivial minimum cut and let G_1 and G_2 be the vertex splitting graphs induced by S. Call x_1 and x_2 the new vertices ($V(G_i) = V_i \cup \{x_i\}$). Suppose T were a non-trivial minimum cut in G_1. Construct a corresponding non-trivial minimum cut T' in G by changing any edge used by T that is adjacent to x_1 to the corresponding edge in S. So to any non-trivial minimum cut of G_1 or G_2 corresponds a distinct non-trivial minimum cut in G. But no non-trivial cut in G_1 or G_2 corresponds to the cut S (it would be a trivial cut in G_1 and G_2). So both G_1 and G_2 have fewer non-trivial minimum cuts than G. By induction G_1 and G_2 have 2 nodes of degree k, including x_1 and x_2. So, G has the same vertices as G_1 and G_2, except for x_1 and x_2, with the same degree. Hence it has 2 nodes of degree k. □

Proof of Theorem 1. By Proposition 16, G^k has two vertices of degree k. Let v be an equivalence class of R^{k+1}. If v contains two distinct vertices u_1 and u_2 of G, then there are at least $k+1$ edge disjoint $u_1 - u_2$ paths in G and both u_1 and u_2 have a degree $\geq k+1$. By Proposition 10, v also has degree $\geq k+1$ in G^k. Hence, the vertices of degree k in G^k correspond to equivalence classes that must each contain at most one vertex of G of degree k. Therefore, G has two vertices of degree k. □

References

[1] R. Halin. A theorem on n-connected graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 7:150–154, 1969.

[2] Carl Kingsford and Guillaume Marçais. A synthesis for exactly 3-edge-connected graphs. Submitted to FOCS 2009, May 2009, `arXiv:0905.1053 [math.CO]`.

C. Kingsford, Department of Computer Science and Institute for Advanced Computer Studies, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

E-mail address: `carlk@cs.umd.edu`

G. Marçais, Program in Applied Mathematics & Statistics and Scientific Computation, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

E-mail address: `guillaume@marcais.net`